

SAMPLE REVIEW:

Hi Arvind, I am pleased to share my review on your last submission:

Original script(response):

Although the term, gender equality is extremely popular these days, there are people who feel that women should be given equal chance to serve defence services too. I strongly agree with the idea of giving some jobs to women in the army, the navy and the air force but this role should be limited to easy jobs.

There are jobs which require massive physical strength, for example, carrying big machine guns in battlefield. It is the universal truth that strength of women is lower than men's, therefore, women should not able to perform in those jobs. Moreover, women's primary responsibility is to take care of their family and if employed in defence jobs they have to live in remote areas away from their family. Therefore, it is tough to survive in these jobs.

However, there are fixed routine back office jobs too which women can do very well and some jobs where only communication involved, women can even outperform men being better eloquent speakers. For example, in Army Headquarters, there are normal office hours and employees take care of accounting and finance issues of the army. In these jobs, women can easily perform well. Furthermore, as per recent study of popular institute it was proved that women are better psychologists than men. Therefore, interrogation jobs like interrogating criminals can be given to women too.

To put in the nutshell, defence services have myriad jobs, some are suitable for everyone and some are men specific. Therefore, jobs which demands physical strength should be given to men only but common easy jobs should be given to women too.

Edits/comments and Suggested rephrases:

(Although the term (,) 'gender equality' is extremely popular these days, there are people who feel that women should be given (an) *check your articles* equal chance to serve defence services too). *The term 'gender inequality' is gaining momentum these days giving acceptance to the idea of women employment in areas such as army or the defence services. The problem in your construction is a mismatch noticed in expressing two given ideas which need to be contradictory in nature because of use of a transition-although. But, no such contradiction is noticed, rather the ideas go hand in hand making the use of 'although' irrelevant and redundant.* I strongly agree with the idea of giving (some) *redundant* jobs to women in the army, the navy and the air force (but this role should be limited to easy jobs.) *, provided the role assigned is not too physically demanding .*

There are jobs which require massive physical strength, for example, carrying big machine guns in (a) battlefield. It is (the) a universal truth that (strength of women is lower than men's) *the strength of women is generally lower than that of their male counter-parts, which could adversely affect the performance-rate of women if employed in such jobs. therefore, women should not able to perform in those jobs.* Moreover, women's primary responsibility is to take care of their (family) *immediate families* and if employed in defence jobs they have to live in remote areas away

from their family.,thus making it tough to survive in these jobs.(Therefore, it is tough to survive in these jobs.)*Try to make the sentences complex by using transitions other than therefore,so,etc.*

However, there are fixed routine back office jobs too which women can do very well and some jobs where only communication (is) involved, (women can even outperform men being better eloquent speakers)*women,being more eloquent speakers seem to outperform men.Look at the rephrase-rephrasing has almost eliminated the flaw of inversion in this sentence.In the absence of a comma and misarrangement of subject/object or adjective clause,it becomes difficult for the reader to follow the text and get references at ease.* For example, in Army Headquarters, there are normal office hours and employees take care of accounting and finance(financial)*check word-choice issues of the army. In these jobs, women can easily perform well .(Furthermore,as per recent study of popular institute it was proved that women are better psychologists than men. Therefore, interrogation jobs like interrogating criminals can be given (assigned) to women too.)You are not asked your suggestions on where to employ women.The task response becomes a little irrelevant if you flood your writing with too many independent ideas which are outside of the genre or sub-genre (army in this case)without duly addressing and supporting the claim you put forth.*

To put in ~~the~~a nutshell, defence services have myriad jobs, some are suitable for everyone and others (some) are men-specific. (Therefore, jobs which (demands) demand *check your SV agreement* physical strength should be given to men only but common easy jobs should be given to women too.)*Rephrase-As such,it becomes imperative that the jobs which demand much physical strength should merely be assigned to men and other common easy jobs may not be attributed to any specific gender-role.If you look at the rephrase ,you will find that this approach has helped to express my opinion in an unbiased and neutral way.*

.....

Band Score-6.0+

My overall observations:

- 1)Majority of your structures are short and simple.Make them complex by following transitions other than so,therefore,etc.
- 2)Take your of redundant facts ,phrases or words.Identify your redundant elements.
- 3)The organisation of your essays certainly reflects the strength of your writing.But,don't flood your writing with too many main ideas,leaving less or no space for supporting your ideas.
- 4)Check your word-choice e.g.given a job or assigned a job,finance or financial issues.
- 5)Fix the problem of inversion(misarrangement of words).

Evaluated and reviewed by-Surendra Singh Arora

www.onlinejeltstutorials.com